JP LPPM UNRI, ISSN: 2086-4779, e-ISSN: 2715-8209



Jurnal Pendidikan

https://jp.ejournal.unri.ac.id/index.php/JP/index



MODEL OF HISTORY TEACHING BASED ON THE USE OF PRIMARY HISTORICAL SOURCES

Nur Fatah Abidin

History Education Department, Faculty of Teacher Training and Educational Science, Sebelas Maret University, Surakarta, Indonesia nurfatah@staff.uns.ac.id

Abstract: Analyzing primary historical sources become the biggest challenge for students in history class. This research aims to develop EAR learning instruction based on the elaboration of historical thinking and three cognitive processes of knowledge construction. The Elaboration Theory was adopted to calibrate those concepts into systematic learning instruction for analyzing the primary source in history class. The elaboration, and Reconstruction. These stages represent systematic steps to sourcing, analyzing, and reconstructing historical narrative based on the analysis of the primary source. EAR learning instruction can provide implementable steps to examine primary historical sources in history class and support the improvement of students' historical thinking by giving a simple path to analyze the primary historical sources. EAR is expected diminishing students' barriers in facing complexity in analyzing the primary source in history class.

Keywords: history learning, primary source, historical thinking

Abstrak: Menganalisis sumber primer sejarah menjadi tantangan terbesar yang dihadapi oleh siswa dalam proses pembelajaran sejarah. Penelitian ini bertujuan untuk mengkonstruksi konsep model pembelajaran sejarah berbasis penggunaan sumber primer sejarah. Teori Elaborasi diadopsi untuk mengelaborasikan model pembelajaran sejarah yang dikembangkan. Elaborasi menghasilkan konsep model pembelajaran sejarah berbasis penggunaan sumber primer yang terdiri dari tiga Langkah yaitu Engagement, Anatomisasi, dan Rekonstruksi. Tahapan tersebut merupakan langkah sistematis untuk mencari, menganalisis, dan merekonstruksi narasi sejarah berdasarkan analisis sumber primer sejarah. Model pembelajaran ini dapat memberikan langkah-langkah sistematis yang dapat diterapkan untuk menganalisis sumber primer sejarah dalam proses pembelajaran sejarah dan mendukung peningkatan pemikiran historis siswa dengan memberikan instruksi yang dapat diikuti siswa untuk menganalisis sumber primer sejarah. Konsep model pembelajaran yang dikembangkan diharapkan dapat mengurangi hambatan siswa dalam menghadapi kompleksitas dalam menganalisis sumber primer sejarah dalam pembelajaran.

Kata kunci: pembelajaran sejarah, sumber primer, berfikir historis

INTRODUCTION

Educators and researchers across the globe agree that primary historical source is the most legitimate learning material in history class. The primary historical source refers to the remains of historical events, such as archives, documents, photographs, or visual recording, either in printed, copy-printed, or hypertext versions, by which students can find the vestiges of historical events (Barton, 2018). The primary historical source is perceived to be more authentic than secondary sources (Mason & Hicks, 2002; Levesque & Clark, 2018). The use of historical sources in history class brings practical benefits for teachers and students. It can bring distinctive historical experience (Yarema, 2002), construct historical inquiry (Waring, Torrez, & Lipscomb, 2015), promote critical thinking skills (Morgan & Rasinksi, 2012), feel the exaggeration of historical texts (Vansledright, 2004), and understand multiple interpretation (Breakstone, Smith, & Wineburg, 2013) and multiple representation (Waring, 2007).

Utilization of primary sources in history class needs an appropriate learning instruction beside of specific pedagogical approach (Reisman, 2015), active assistance from teachers (Lee, Doolittle & Hicks, 2006), analytical skills (Nordgren, 2016), and historical sense (Cowgill II & Waring, 2017). Some scholars, such as Wineburg (2010) and Seixas (2017), have promoted concept namely historical thinking as an approach for teachers and students to deal with historical sources in the learning process. Historical thinking demands teachers and students to act as a historian in scrutinizing historical sources, collecting the data, and reconstruct historical narratives by emphasizing data validity of historical evidence.

The use of primary historical sources in history class still faces many barriers. Kaya (2015) reported the barrier comes from teachers' unreadiness in preparing primary sources. If teachers do not have good preparation and experiences in using primary sources, they will not be able using historical sources in the class effectively. Cowgill II and Waring (2017) and Kaviza (2018) emphasized students' inability to organize complex activities of historical inquiry. Meanwhile, Barton (2018) reported the gap between the purpose of using historical sources with its features of the history classroom.

The author assumes that an appropriate learning model for history learning based on primary historical sources should be developed, especially to simplify the complex process in

analyzing primary historical sources in the classroom. Following this assumption, this research aims to develop a model of history learning using historical sources based on the elaboration of the framework of historical thinking and three cognitive processes in knowledge construction. The concept of historical thinking can be used to analyze primary historical sources. Meanwhile, three cognitive processes of knowledge construction can be used to examine the text in primary historical sources. It means the elaboration of historical thinking and three cognitive processes of knowledge construction can provide a practical framework for analyzing primary historical sources. It means the developed model of learning instruction is expected diminishing students' weakness in facing complexity when they deal with historical sources.

METHOD

The elaboration of historical thinking and three cognitive processes of knowledge construction was conducted by adopting Reigeluth and Rodgers' (1980) approach of the Elaboration Theory of instruction, which is still relevant today in the educational field (Haji et al 2015). Five stages were implemented in the Elaboration Theory namely (1) selecting all the operations to be taught, (2) deciding which operation to teach first, (3) sequencing all the remaining operations, (4) creating all expanded epitomes, and (5) designing instruction on each operation and each expanded epitome.

Firstly, the author sets the learning objective related to the students' ability to use primary historical sources in the classroom that should be achieved by the students. The author took two conceptions of historical thinking from Wineburg (2010), Seixas (2017), and three cognitive processes (Mayer, 1996). In the second stage, the author selected the important component gathered from each historical thinking and three cognitive processes. The elimination of components from each conception was held by excluding complex operations. In the third stage, after eliminating the operations, the author then sequenced the remaining operations listed in the framework. The author systematically synchronizes each instruction into a unity of learning instruction. In the fourth stage, the author made a review and synthesize the instruction. The most important process in this stage was to generate the epitomes for each instruction listed in the framework. The fifth stage was focused on expanding epitome and instruction to complement the instruction of history learning based on the historical source.

RESULTS

Step 1: Select All the operations to be taught

The objective of elaboration is to generate a learning instruction to support students' activities using primary historical sources in history class. The concepts of historical thinking and three cognitive processes of knowledge construction are elaborated. The concept of historical thinking is derived from the frameworks of Wineburg (2010) and Seixas (2017). Wineburg (2010) develops the heuristic core of historical thinking consisted of three aspects namely sourcing, contextualization, and corroboration. Sourcing refers to the process of understanding and examining historical sources. The second aspect of Wineburg's framework is contextualization that refers to the process of locating primary historical sources in its temporal and spatial context. Wineburg's third conception is corroboration that refers to the comparison of historical sources with one another. Wineburg suggests using other forms of historical sources in the classroom. The use of other forms of historical sources can give a boarder perspective for the students to strengthen the accuracy of historical data containing in the historical sources.

Seixas (2017) promoted six concepts of historical thinking. The first conception of Seixas' historical thinking is about the problem of historical significance that emphasizes the importance of historical events in the history of humankind. The second concept is about the problem of historical evidence. This conception relates to the problem of how students deal with primary historical sources in the classroom. The third concept is about the problem of continuity and change that related to the question about how the historical changes and continuity are interwoven. The fourth concept relates to the problem of cause and consequence in history. The question is about the layers of causality that led to the occurrence of a particular event in the past. It also attains with the question about its consequence that rippled afterward the historical events. The fifth concept relates to the problem of historical perspective-taking which almost coincidence with the ability to differ the past and present perspectives. The sixth concept relates to the ethical dimension issue that covers the aspect of students' judgment about historical events and how they can collect some ethnical aspects from the events.

Mayer (1996) perceived that knowledge is constructed based on three cognitive processes namely selecting, organizing, and integrating. The first process is the selection that closely related to the process of selecting relevant data contained in the learning materials. The second process is about the process of organizing the selected data into coherent representation. The third process is integration that relates to the process of integrating the data with the existing knowledge. In the process of selecting, the students should determine the main text of historical sources. The process continues to add the information into students' short-term memory. This process is intended to attract students' attention to take account of historical sources. Furthermore, in the process of organizing the students should connect and combine the selected information into a coherent structure. This process aims to generate keywords from the information gathered from the historical source. The last process is about integrating the students to build a connection between new knowledge gathered from historical sources and the existing knowledge stored in their long-term memory.

Based on the above description, it can be seen that the concept of historical thinking and the three cognitive models of the knowledge construction contain several overlapping instructions. The historical thinking tackles the problem of how students deal with primary historical sources. Wineburg and Seixas's conceptions have mentioned the problem the data collection, data analysis, and data presentation in examining primary historical sources. Meanwhile, Mayer provided three processes from selecting, organizing, and integrating that covers the activities of historical source examination. It means those conceptions can be elaborated to generate an appropriate learning model based on primary historical sources.

Step 2: Decide the first operations

Some operations listed in those conceptions should be eliminated to decide the first operation in the developed learning instruction. Some aspects such as the importance, complexity, and similarity of operations were taken into consideration in the elimination process. The process and result of elimination can be seen in table 1:

Historical thinking heuristic	•	Conception of three
	thinking	cognitive process
1. Sourcing	1. Historical significance	1. Selecting
1.1. Understand detailed		1.1. determine the main
	importance of historical	text
source	events	1.2. add information
1.2. Read primary source		into short-term memory
	2.1. Manage historical	1.3. Attract students'
conversation	sources	attention
1.3. analyze validity of		2. Organizing
primary source.		2.1. Organize, connect,
1.4. Prepare students'		and combine selected
	continuity in history	information into
2. Contextualization	3.2. Understand the	coherent structure.
	changes in history	•
source in its temporal	3.3. Understand the	from information
and spatial context.	interwoven between	gathered from historical
	changes and continuity	
	4. Cause and consequence	3. Integrating
historical source	4.1 Find layers of causality	3.1. Construct holistic
3. Corroboration	4.2 Understand historical	understanding of
3.1. Compare historical	consequence	historical source.
source with one	5. Historical perspective-	3.2. Construct
another.	taking	connection between
3.2. Take a boarder	5.1. Take past and	new knowledge
perspective based on	present perspectives.	gathered from historical
the comparation	6. Ethical dimension	source and the existing
3.3. Strengthen the	6.1. Generate ethical	knowledge storage in
accuracy of historical	values based on primary	their long-term memory.
data containing in the	source.	
historical sources.		

Table 1. Wineburg, Seixas, and Mayer's Conceptions of Historical Thinking.

The elimination of components from each conception was held by reducing complex operations. The remaining operations in the instruction were then sequenced to complete its operationalization.

Step 3-5: The sequence, expand, and design of the operations

After eliminating the operations, the author then sequences the remain operations as the blueprint to develop the learning instruction. The sequential process cannot be separated from

the further stages. In the next stage, the author synthesizes to operation into systematic instruction. The most important aspect of this stage is to generate the epitomes for each instruction listed in the framework. The focus of the fifth stage is to expand and complete the developed learning instruction. The result of sequencing, expanding, and designing can be seen in table 2:

Learning phases	Activities	Learning instructions	
1	Engagement	1. Understand the detailed information of the historical source.	
		2. Situate the historical source in its temporal and spatial context	
2 Anatomization		 Find and determine the main text of historical source by reading historical source with a spirited conversation Select and generate keyword based on historical data 	
		from the historical source 3. Compare the historical source with other secondary	
		resources to strengthening the accuracy of the data and give a broader perspective from the past and the present perspective.	
3 Reconstruction	1. Organize and sequence the selected data into a coherent structure based on the concept of change and continuity, cause and consequence.		
		2. Reconstruct historical narrative based on an elaboration between a holistic understanding of the historical source and the existing knowledge storage in long-term memory.	
		3. State opinions regarding the historical source giving	

Table 2. The result of Sequence Proces	ss.	
--	-----	--

The result of three inter-related process, sequencing, expanding, and designing of operation in the developed model generate a syntax for the examination of historical source in the classroom that consist of three steps namely Engagement, Anatomization, and Reconstruction (EAR).

DISCUSSION

Systematic activities in EAR learning instruction can be used to examine primary historical sources. The first stage, Engagement, leads the students to understand more deeply about the

origin of primary historical sources. It can be started by asking questions regarding the detail information of primary historical sources to understand the places and times situated in the historical event. Engagement accommodates students to achieve the process of sourcing and selecting to get more understanding about historical significance. EAR can eliminate some practical problems found by Kaya (2015) and Kaviza (2018) about the unreadiness of teacher or students' preparation in using primary historical sources. In Wineburg's (2010) framework, engagement becomes an important dimension to shape the mental model of teacher and students in examining primary historical sources. Meanwhile, Cowill II & Waring (2017) believe that engagement can be seen as the activity to understand historical sense.

The second stage, Anatomization, persuades students to analyze critically primary historical sources by analyzing the text and comparing primary historical sources with a secondary source. Some activities can be held in Anatomization such as reading primary historical sources, determining the main text, finding important information, and generating keywords from primary historical sources. Moreover, students can compare the data gathered from primary historical sources with secondary data from references, books, and journals. This comparison aims to verify the accuracy of the data from primary historical sources. Anatomization emphasizes the conversational reading of the text, accommodates students' prior ability in dealing with primary historical sources such as historical detective, historical interpretation, and document analysis in examining primary historical documents (Tally & Goldenberg, 2005; Nordgren, 2016).

The third stage of EAR consists of the reconstruction process in which the students organize and sequence the data into a coherent historical narrative. The students can use several historical concepts such as the concept of change, continuity, causality, and consequence to reconstruct historical narrative. The students should organize and sequence the selected data into a coherent structure based on the order of time, change and continuity, cause and consequence (Moore, Angus, Brady, Bates, & Murgatroyd., 2016). Practically, the students should master chronological thinking, which becomes the basic feature in history learning to arrange the events into time sequence that covers the sense of scale, sense of period, and sense of past framework (Dawson, 2004). In the last activity, students reconstruct historical narrative based on an elaboration between a holistic understanding of the historical source and the existing knowledge storage in

long-term memory. In other words, students make an interconnectedness of the historical events and unfold the past to the present (Adams, 1987).

It can be seen that EAR simplifies complex processes in examining primary sources. The EAR provides a systematic and implementable approach to read, analyze, and verify historical data. The simplification, as pointed by Cowgill II and Waring (2017), can support the students to do complex activities of historical inquiry and the utilization of primary historical sources. However, from Bass and Rosenzweig's (1999) perspective, the learning phases in EAR represent the authentic thinking process of a historian. The distinctive experience in EAR learning instruction can improve students' ability to recite and restore the historical memory or specific information containing in the historical source (Barton, 2005).

From the above discussion, EAR can be inferred as the simplified version of historical thinking targeted to accommodate students with minimum knowledge and ability about historical research. Despite its simplicity, the EAR learning phases still represent the fundamental skills in historical thinking and three cognitive processes as knowledge construction because of the elaboration process in its development. By using EAR learning instruction, the teacher and students can act such a historian who can scrutinize and interpret primary historical sources, collecting the data, and reconstruct historical narratives. In the classroom, EAR can be posited as a learning instruction for teachers and students in examining historical data. It means EAR can be paired with other pedagogical approaches (Reisman, 2015), or many forms of historical source, both conventional and digitized historical (Tally & Goldenberg, 2005), as long as they have the same purpose (Barton, 2018). The function of the EAR is to support the learning environment in history learning, especially as the implementable instruction when students deal with the primary historical sources.

CONCLUSION

The elaboration of the framework of historical thinking and three cognitive processes has generated the EAR learning strategy that consists of three steps namely Engagement, Anatomization, and Reconstruction. Structurally, EAR is designed to help the students to examine the historical source thus it can be used by the students in history class. The limitation of the

research is about the operationality of the elaborated model in the diverse nature of the history classroom. The author recommends developing the elaborated model to measure its operationality for teachers and students in the history classroom. The developed instruction can scaffold students' skills to learn history based on historical primary sources.

REFERENCES

- Barton, K. C. (2018). Historical sources in the classroom: Purpose and use. *HSSE Online: Research and Practice in Humanities and Social Studies Education*, 7(PUBART).
- Breakstone, J., Smith, M., & Wineburg, S. (2013). Beyond the bubble in history/social studies assessments. *Phi Delta Kappan*, *94*(5), 53-57.
- Cowgill II, D. A., & Waring, S. M. (2017). Historical Thinking: Analyzing Student and Teacher Ability Analyze Sources. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 8(1), 115-145.
- Dawson, I. (2004). Time for chronology? Ideas for developing chronological understanding. *Teaching History*, (117), 14.
- Haji, F. A., Khan, R., Regehr, G., Ng, G., de Ribaupierre, S., & Dubrowski, A. (2015). Operationalising elaboration theory for simulation instruction design: a Delphi study. *Medical education*, 49(6), 576-588.
- Kaya, R. (2015). Problems encountered during the implementations of resource-based teaching in pre-service history teacher training. *Educational Research and Reviews*, *10*(20), 2724-2736.
- Kaviza, M. (2018). Using Historical Sources as History Teaching and Learning Materials: Are Students Ready to Explore? *Malaysian Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities* (*MJSSH*), 3(5), 41-47.
- Lévesque, S., & Clark, P. (2018). Historical thinking: definitions and educational applications. *The Wiley International Handbook of History Teaching and Learning*, 119.
- Lee, J. K., Doolittle, P. E., & Hicks, D. (2006). Social studies and history teachers' uses of non-digital and digital historical resources. *Social Studies Research and Practice*, 1(3), 291-311.
- Mason, C., & Hicks, D. (2002). Digital history as rich information: Access and analysis. *ICT in the primary school*, 66-84.
- Morgan, D. N., & Rasinski, T. V. (2012). The power and potential of primary sources. *The Reading Teacher*, 65(8), 584-594.

- Moore, Hugh, Rachel Angus, Caitlin Brady, Caitlin Bates, and Caron Murgatroyd. "Creative approaches to time and chronology." (2016): 105-119.
- Nordgren, K. (2016). How to do things with history: Use of history as a link between historical consciousness and historical culture. *Theory & Research in Social Education*, 44(4), 479-504.
- Reigeluth, C. M., & Rodgers, C. A. (1980). The elaboration theory of instruction: Prescriptions for task analysis and design. *NSPI journal*, *19*(1), 16-26.
- Reisman, A. (2015). Entering the Historical Problem Space: Whole-Class Text-Based Discussion in History Class. *Teachers College Record*, 117(2), n2.
- Seixas, P. (2017). A model of historical thinking. *Educational Philosophy and Theory*, 49(6), 593-605.
- Tally, B., & Goldenberg, L. B. (2005). Fostering historical thinking with digitized primary sources. *Journal of Research on Technology in Education*, *38*(1), 1-21.
- VanSledright, B. A. (2004). What does it mean to think historically... and how do you teach it. *Social education*, *68*(3), 230-233.
- Waring, S. M. (2007). Informing preservice teachers about multiple representations of historical events. *Social Studies Research and Practice*, 2(1), 2007.
- Waring, S. M., Torrez, C., & Lipscomb, G. (2015). Pay it forward: Teacher candidates' use of historical artifacts to invigorate K-12 history instruction. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, *6*(2), 18-30.
- Wineburg, S. (2010). Historical thinking and other unnatural acts. *Phi delta kappan*, 92(4), 81-94.
- Yarema, A. E. (2002). A decade of debate: Improving content and interest in history education. *The History Teacher*, *35*(3), 389-398.